

# Phosphorus

for *sheep and beef*  
pastures

# Phosphorus for sheep and beef pastures

The efficient use of phosphorus fertiliser is closely linked to farm profitability. It is a key component of productive pasture technology - the combination of well-fertilised, high-quality pastures stocked at an appropriate grazing pressure with livestock of high genetic merit.

Decisions about fertiliser are among the most important made on grazing properties each year. The aim of this package is to provide a rational method for calculating the phosphorus requirement for sheep and beef pastures in Tasmania. Requirements are based on the amount of phosphorus required to replace the phosphorus used in the farming system so soil fertility is maintained.

Research in New Zealand shows that the amount of phosphorus required to maintain productivity varies with the soil type, stocking rate and carrying capacity. These findings have been combined with the results from a long-term phosphorus experiment at Hamilton, Victoria, to predict optimum phosphorus fertiliser rates for Tasmanian conditions.

This package will assist producers make decisions about:

- how much phosphorus should be applied to particular paddocks
- what type of phosphorus fertiliser should be used
- the priority of different paddocks for fertiliser.

## Contents

Phosphorus in grazing systems	3
Research leads to better decision making	4
How much phosphorus is needed?	5
Testing and monitoring soil phosphorus	7
Soil testing, sampling and monitoring	9
Phosphorus fertilisers	11
Priorities for phosphorus fertiliser use	12
Responsible fertiliser use	13

*The key message of this package is:- the old rule of thumb of one kilogram of phosphorus per dry sheep equivalent can be greatly refined to improve profitability, reduce the potential for damage to waterways and the wider environment.*

*The annual amount of phosphorus per dry sheep equivalent (kg P/DSE) needed to maintain productivity varies from 0.48 to 1.54 kg P/DSE, depending on rainfall, soil type and grazing enterprise.*

*Adequate amounts of all other soil nutrients need to be present to get the full benefit from phosphorus fertiliser.*

## Abbreviations

cm	centimetre
DSE	dry sheep equivalent
ha	hectare
kg	kilogram
mg	milligram
mm	millimetre
P	phosphorus
t	tonne
%	percentage

### NOTE

The recommendations in this package are designed to supply an adequate amount of phosphorus fertiliser for the level of production supported by a particular paddock. It assumes other major nutrients are in adequate supply - this is to get the full benefit of the applied phosphorus.

## Phosphorus in grazing systems

Phosphorus is essential for all living things. It is required for respiration, photosynthesis, energy expenditure, cell division and growth, and the uptake and movement of nutrients. In animals, phosphorus is a major constituent of bones, teeth and the central nervous system.

The phosphorus in a grazed paddock moves continuously between the soil, plants and animals (Figure 1).

Some phosphorus may be lost from the grazing system through soil reactions and the movement and management of livestock. If insufficient fertiliser phosphorus is applied to balance these losses, the soil phosphorus status of the paddock will fall. Eventually productivity will also fall.

### Soil losses

Phosphorus in dung and plant litter is unavailable to plants until the organic matter is mineralised (broken down) by micro-organisms in the soil.

Phosphorus in the soil will react with iron and aluminium to form insoluble compounds. This phosphorus is known as fixed or sorbed phosphorus. It cannot be used directly by plants but over time may become available through weathering of the soil particles.

Other losses of phosphorus occur by leaching (especially in acid sandy soils), run-off following heavy rain immediately after fertiliser application, and soil erosion.

The amount of phosphorus lost due to soil factors varies with soil type and increases with rainfall.


### Animal losses

A high proportion of the phosphorus consumed by livestock returns to the soil in dung and urine, but much of it is transferred to stock camps within the paddock, leading to a net loss of phosphorus from the main part of the paddock. Stock camps are more pronounced on hilly terrain and set stocked areas, and less pronounced on flat areas or where paddocks are rotationally grazed.

Some phosphorus is exported from the grazing system when animals, meat, milk or wool leave the farm.

*If insufficient phosphorus is applied, the phosphorus status of the paddock will fall and eventually productivity will also fall*

**Figure 1.** A simplified phosphorus (P) cycle showing the continuous movement of phosphorus in the animal grazing system and potential loss pathways. After Cornforth and Sinclair (1982).



*To maintain an adequate soil phosphorus status, the phosphorus lost from each paddock through soil and animal processes must be replaced*

## Research leads to better decision making

A long-term experiment at Hamilton, Victoria, shows there is a close relationship between inputs of phosphorus and profitability.

The maximum profit from wool sheep (sale of wool and lambs) at Hamilton occurs when about one kilogram of phosphorus per ewe (about 0.8 kg P/DSE) is applied each year. This figure is relatively insensitive to changes in the cost of fertiliser and the price of wool, and enables reasonably confident recommendations on how much phosphorus fertiliser to use for pastures on the basalt soils in south-western Victoria.

Widespread recommendations across farms and districts of a single kg P/DSE rate are incorrect because the amount of fertiliser required will depend on soil type, the animal enterprise and the potential productivity of individual paddocks.

The Hamilton results have been integrated with a method developed in New Zealand which is based on replacing the phosphorus lost in the grazing system with fertiliser phosphorus (Figure 1). The combined approach provides Tasmanian graziers with a new and better way to make decisions about phosphorus fertiliser.

Previously, soil tests alone were used to determine a phosphorus application rate. This new package gives recommendations based on applying a given amount of phosphorus per DSE. This ensures that paddocks with the highest stocking rates receive the most fertiliser.

*Results from the Hamilton Long-term Phosphate Experiment show there is a close relationship between inputs of phosphorus and profitability*



**Figure 2.** An aerial view of the 18 plots of the Long-term Phosphate Experiment at Hamilton, Victoria.

*This package presents a method that enables fertiliser rates to be tailored to individual paddocks. It ensures that more fertiliser is used in paddocks with high removal rates, and less is used in paddocks with poor carrying capacity.*

## How much phosphorus is needed?

This package tailors phosphorus fertiliser recommendations to individual paddocks because soil type, carrying capacity and topography will vary between paddocks.

To make decisions on how much phosphorus fertiliser to apply to an individual paddock, to support the desired production and maintain adequate soil phosphorus status, you will need to know:

- average rainfall
- soil type
- grazing system used
- steepness of the paddock
- pasture species present
- stocking rate expressed as DSE/ha
- phosphorus content of the fertiliser

In determining a phosphorus application rate, this package takes into account the phosphorus lost from grazing systems. In New Zealand, losses of phosphorus due to soil and animal processes are classified as low, medium or high. This approach has been adapted for Tasmanian soil conditions.

Table 1 gives soil phosphorus loss factors for different Tasmanian soil types as well as the corresponding 'P sorption index' for each soil - the two factors being a much better indicator of phosphorus loss than soil type alone. Table 1 also gives ratings for animal loss factors based on grazing management and topography.

In incorporating the New Zealand recommendations into this package, an estimate of carrying capacity has been calculated based on average annual rainfall and pasture type using the equation developed by French (1987). French predicted 1.3 DSE/ha could be carried for each 25 mm of rainfall over 250 mm.

Using the appropriate soil and animal loss factors from Table 1, together with average annual rainfall, Table 2 gives the predicted phosphorus requirement per DSE for a paddock. The phosphorus requirement is then multiplied by the stocking rate (DSE/ha) to calculate phosphorus requirement per hectare.

The same predictions for phosphorus requirement can be obtained from the 'Phosphorus Ready Reckoner' attached to this brochure.

### An example calculation

*Your paddock has clay loam soil, in a 800 mm rainfall zone, and the paddock supports set stocking on flat to rolling country.*

➔ the soil loss factor will be **medium** (Table 1)

➔ the animal loss factor will be **low** (Table 1)

*Having selected the soil and animal loss factors for your paddock, and taking into account the 800 mm rainfall, the appropriate phosphorus rate per DSE is determined from Table 2.*

➔ **0.92 kg P/DSE** is recommended

*The next step is to express stocking rate in terms of DSE/ha. Use the DSE values of different classes of livestock given in Table 3 together with paddock records. Alternatively, commercially available farm management computer programs can be used to calculate stocking rate. We have also produced a computer spreadsheet that can calculate DSE, available free of charge from DPIWE.*

*If individual paddock information is not available, use average stocking rates for the farm and values in Table 3 to calculate the stocking rate as DSE/ha.*

*In this example, the paddock is stocked with 60 kg wethers, running at 10 head/ha. Using Table 3, a 60 kg wether has a DSE value of 1.2, so the stocking rate of the paddock is 10 x 1.2*

➔ **12 DSE/ha**

*The amount of fertiliser to apply per hectare can be determined using the following equation:*

**$100 \times \text{kg P/DSE} \times \text{DSE/ha}$**

**%P**

*If single super (9% phosphorus) is used, the fertiliser application rate will be:*

➔  **$100 \times 0.92 \times 12 = 123 \text{ kg single super/ha}$**

**9**

**Table 1.** A description and rating of phosphorus loss factors in sheep and beef cattle grazing systems, based on soil characteristics, grazing management and landscape. Soil types listed here are described in Table 4.

<b>Soil phosphorus loss factors</b>		
<b>Soil type</b>	<b>P sorption index</b>	<b>Loss factor</b>
Recent alluvial soils, loams and sandy loams	Less than 100	Low
Podzols, clay loams	100 to 300	Medium
Ferrosols and other clays, organic soils	Greater than 300	High
Acid sands (leaching leads to high loss factor)	Less than 100	High
<b>Animal phosphorus loss factors</b>		
<b>Grazing Management</b>	<b>Landscape</b>	<b>Loss factor</b>
Intensive rotational grazing (e.g. cell grazing or block grazing)	Flat and rolling (mostly less than 10° slope)	Very Low
	Easy hills (mostly less than 10° slope)	Low
	Steep hills (one third of paddock greater than 35°)	Medium
Set stocked or intermittent grazing	Flat and rolling	Low
	Easy hills	Medium
	Steep hills	High

**Table 2.** Predicted phosphorus requirement (kg P/DSE) for maximum profit as determined by phosphorus loss factors and annual rainfall.

<b>Soil loss factor</b>	<b>Animal loss factor</b>	<b>Annual rainfall (mm)</b>			
		<b>600</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>900</b>
Low	Very low	0.48	0.52	0.54	0.56
	Low	0.62	0.66	0.68	0.72
	Medium	0.76	0.80	0.84	0.88
	High	0.90	0.94	1.00	1.04
Medium	Very low	0.72	0.74	0.78	0.82
	Low	0.84	0.88	0.92	0.98
	Medium	0.98	1.04	1.08	1.14
	High	1.12	1.18	1.24	1.28
High	Very low	0.94	0.98	1.02	1.06
	Low	1.06	1.12	1.18	1.22
	Medium	1.20	1.26	1.32	1.38
	High	1.34	1.40	1.48	1.54


**Table 3.** Dry sheep equivalent (DSE) values for different classes of livestock, at different liveweights.

<b>Sheep</b>	<b>30 kg</b>	<b>40 kg</b>	<b>50 kg</b>	<b>60 kg</b>
Dry ewes or wethers (maintaining weight)	-	0.9	1.0	1.2
Last month of pregnancy (singles or <i>twins</i> )	-	1.2 / <b>1.4</b>	1.4 / <b>1.6</b>	1.6 / <b>1.9</b>
Lactation (singles or <i>twins</i> )	-	2.6 / <b>3.7</b>	2.7 / <b>3.9</b>	2.9 / <b>4.4</b>
Weaners (growth rate 100 g/day)	1.1	1.3	-	-
Average (year) ewe	-	1.5	1.6	1.8
<b>Beef cattle</b>	<b>400 kg</b>	<b>500 kg</b>	<b>600 kg</b>	
Dry cows or store steers (maintaining weight)	6	7	8	
Dry cows or store steers (growth rate 0.5 kg/day)	8	11	12	
Dry cows or store steers (growth rate 1.0 kg/day)	11	13	15	
Last 3 months of pregnancy	8	9	11	
Cows with 0-3 month calves	13	14	17	
Cows with 3-9 month calves	19	21	24	
Average (year) cow	15	16	19	

## Testing and monitoring soil phosphorus

**Table 4.** Description of soil types listed in Table 1.

Alluvial soils	Derived from river activity, they are usually well drained and more fertile than soils derived from underlying rock.
Loam	Both friable and cohesive; when worked moist, can be worked into a ball, but cannot be rolled out into a ribbon. Sand grains cannot be felt.
Clay loam	Like a loam, but can be rolled into a ribbon that soon breaks up. Sand grains cannot be felt.
Clay	Tough, plastic soil that can be rolled into a long ribbon when just dry enough not to be sticky.
Podzol	Acidic sandy to clay loam topsoil with a change in texture (more clay) down the profile.
Ferrosols	Dark red-brown clay with very friable and stable crumb structure. The subsoil is red clay, friable and very porous.
Organic soils	Reclaimed swamps with mixed inorganic (clay) and organic compounds.
Acid sands	Acid sands are not cohesive and are coarse to the touch, often high in organic matter, with no change in texture with depth.



**Figure 3.** A landscape illustrating different animal loss factors: easy hill country in the foreground, flat and rolling country behind the tree line, and steep hills in the background

### How to implement the recommendations

The first step is to compare the recommended rate of phosphorus application with your current average annual rate of application. The greater the difference between the recommended rate and the rate applied, the more significance this will have for your farming business.

- If the recommended rate is within 10% of your current application, this gives added confidence that current application rates are appropriate and sufficient to maintain soil phosphorus reserves.
- If the difference between the recommended rate and current application rate is greater than 10%, soil test results should be reviewed to check whether soil phosphorus reserves are being maintained. In the absence of soil test results or other information it is recommended to move the application rate closer to the recommended rate. In these situations a program should be established to monitor soil fertility (page 9).
- If the current application rate is substantially below the recommended rate, the long-term sustainability of the grazing enterprises may be at risk.
- If the current application rate is substantially above the recommended rate, profitability is not being optimised. Stocking rates should be increased or fertiliser applications reduced.

*A program for monitoring soil fertility should be in place in all situations to ensure that a fertiliser application program maintains soil nutrient reserves*

## Maintenance and capital applications of phosphorus

The amount of phosphorus required to replace the phosphorus used on the farm and maintain soil reserves at existing levels is the most profitable rate to apply for the current stocking rate. This is called a maintenance application.

Application of additional phosphorus is profitable if the additional pasture grown is used by increasing the stocking rate. Applications of phosphorus made in excess of maintenance are called capital applications.

Capital applications of phosphorus:

- may be required where fertiliser has not been applied for several years and there are still responsive pasture species present
- are most likely to be effective on newly sown pastures with low reserves of phosphorus and where the additional feed grown can be used
- are not profitable unless the stocking rate is increased to use the additional feed grown.

Applying more than maintenance rates of phosphorus to a soil already with high reserves of phosphorus is always uneconomic. At high levels of fertility, the response to each additional amount of phosphorus applied is progressively less, so that a plateau in yield is reached.

### *Maintenance phosphorus*

*The amount of fertiliser needed to maintain soil reserves of plant nutrients in adequate supply*

### *Capital phosphorus*

*The amount of phosphorus required in excess of maintenance to build up soil reserves of phosphorus or production capacity*



## Soil testing, sampling and monitoring

### *Why should we test the soil?*

#### **To monitor trends in soil phosphorus**

A soil test will help determine if the soil phosphorus level is rising, falling or remaining relatively constant from one year to the next. Having monitor paddocks that are sampled carefully each year to detect changes in soil nutrient levels is the best way to ensure soil nutrient reserves are being maintained.

Soil tests determine phosphorus levels in the soil using the Colwell extraction method. The result is commonly referred to as a Colwell P, and reported as milligrams of phosphorus per kilogram of soil (mg/kg). The Colwell soil test is preferred as it is the only soil test calibrated for Tasmanian soils and pastures.

*If test results indicate that soil levels of phosphorus are declining, i.e. the Colwell P is declining, the rate of phosphorus application needs to be increased to sustain production.*

*If test results indicate soil levels of phosphorus are increasing, i.e. the Colwell P is increasing, the phosphorus rate is more than required for maintenance of soil phosphorus reserves. In this case the profitability of fertiliser application must be considered. Stocking rate can be increased to better utilise the pasture growth resulting from the high phosphorus reserves; or cost effectiveness of the enterprise can be improved by reducing the amount of fertiliser applied.*

*If soil test results for a paddock vary by more than 20% over time, further investigation of monitoring and application rates is required. Firstly, ensure sampling methods are uniform and conducted at a similar time each year (see page 10 for details).*

Secondly, if the phosphorus application rate is being determined using the method described in this package, it may be necessary to revise the soil loss factor (Table 1). For example, the soil type in a particular paddock may be more variable than first thought, and the soil loss factor has been based on the wrong soil type. Reconsidering the soil loss factor, will lead to a revised and more appropriate phosphorus application rate (see the example to the right).

#### **For example**

*If the monitor paddock has a medium soil phosphorus loss factor, the animal phosphorus loss factor is low and rainfall is 700 mm/year, the recommended P/DSE from Table 2 will be 0.88 kg P/DSE.*

*If the stocking rate of a monitor paddock is 12 DSE/ha, the recommended P/ha rate will be  $12 \times 0.88 = 10.6$  kg P/ha or **117 kg/ha of single superphosphate**.*

*Now suppose that the Colwell P increased by 6 mg/kg when 117 kg of fertiliser was applied. This means that the soil loss factor was overestimated. Using a **low** soil loss factor instead of a **medium** soil loss factor, will give a recommended P/DSE of 0.66 kg P/DSE.*

*The revised phosphorus application rate will be  $12 \times 0.66 = 7.9$  kg P/ha or **88 kg/ha of single superphosphate**.*

#### **To maintain fertility in hay and silage paddocks**

Large quantities of nutrients are removed when hay or silage is made. Pasture hay contains about 0.25% phosphorus and 2% potassium, so a 2.5 t/ha hay crop will remove about 6 kg/ha of phosphorus and 50 kg/ha of potassium. If fodder is not fed back on to the paddocks from which it was made, the nutrient level of the paddock will decline.

A fertiliser program on hay and silage paddocks should aim to replace the phosphorus and potassium removed in the fodder, in addition to phosphorus removed through livestock.

Regular soil tests should be taken to monitor fertility of hay and silage paddocks.

*The recommended rate of phosphorus is a guide - verify by testing the soil of monitor paddocks*

## **To identify other nutrients required**

To get the most from phosphorus applications we must ensure that pasture plants have access to adequate amounts of all essential plant nutrients. In addition to phosphorus, the nutrients most likely to be deficient in Tasmania are sulphur, potassium, nitrogen and molybdenum.

As well as phosphorus, soil tests will assess the status of all major nutrients, including potassium and sulphur. Where the soil test indicates low reserves or a declining level of nutrient it will be necessary to include that nutrient in a fertiliser mix.

Adequate nutrient levels are critical when re-sowing pasture, especially if nutrient deficiency has contributed to pasture failure previously. A soil test at this time is a valuable tool to make decisions to ensure future success of re-sowing programs.

## **To assess the need for lime**

Soil tests report pH and aluminium levels of the soil, both of which are reliable predictors of the need for lime. It is normal for the soil to become more acidic with time. Nitrogen fertiliser use and hay or silage production increase the rate of soil acidification.

Pasture plants are adapted to slightly acidic soils, preferring a pH(water) range of 5.5 to 6.0. The further the pH is below 5.5 the more urgent is the need for lime and it may be more important to apply lime than fertiliser. The level of aluminium in the soil must be considered. Soils naturally low in aluminium can tolerate a relatively low pH before plant growth is restricted.

Removing hay and not feeding it back will also gradually acidify the soil. About 75 kg/ha of lime is required to compensate for the effect of removing a 2.5 t/ha hay crop.

If the lime is to be applied to a paddock being re-sown, incorporation of the lime into the surface soil before seeding will provide the most effective use of lime.

## **Soil tests and trace elements**

Soil testing cannot reliably assess the need for trace elements. Trace elements are more reliably tested from samples of pasture plants.

The most commonly deficient trace element in Tasmanian soils is molybdenum. Molybdenum is required by the nitrogen-fixing bacteria in nodules on the roots of legumes. Poor legume growth may be an indicator of a lack of molybdenum if the soil test shows adequate levels of other plant nutrients.

In areas that have marginal levels of copper, the addition of molybdenum can induce a copper deficiency in animals grazing these pastures. This is most likely on sandy soils. In this case the inclusion of copper in the fertiliser mixture will ensure the benefits of molybdenum are realised without detriment to livestock.

## **Soil sampling and monitoring**

The most important information obtained from a soil test is about the changes in a paddock's fertility over time. These changes are hard to detect if soil samples are taken in a random pattern over the whole paddock from one test to the next. Nutrient levels vary from place to place across a paddock therefore soil samples collected for monitoring nutrient status should be taken at regular intervals along a fixed transect - such as a line between marked fence posts - each sampling time. Careful positioning of sampling transects is very important to obtain the best information and value from the soil monitoring program.

Monitor samples should be collected at the same time of the year when soil conditions are similar and to minimise variation in the proportion of nutrients held in herbage above the ground. The best time to sample is in late spring-early summer when the soil is slightly moist.

Avoid collecting soil samples from dung and urine patches, or from areas of fertility concentration such as around walking tracks, water troughs and stock camps. One or two soil cores from these areas can greatly affect the values obtained.

Most plant nutrients are concentrated near the soil surface, so it is vital to sample a constant depth of soil, generally a 0-7.5 cm interval. At least 30 soil cores should be collected.

It is best to send soil samples to a laboratory belonging to the Australian Soil and Plant Analysis Council. These laboratories regularly check their testing methods by comparing test results from shared samples.



## Phosphorus fertilisers

Phosphate rock is the main source of phosphorus used in the manufacture of fertilisers in Australia and overseas. This material is insoluble in water, and therefore the phosphorus it contains is unavailable to plants.

Superphosphates are the most common fertilisers used to supply phosphorus to sheep and beef pastures. Superphosphates are produced by reacting phosphate rock with acids, changing the phosphorus in the rock to a water soluble form available to plants.

Single superphosphate is the most widely used form of phosphorus applied to pastures in Australia. In most cases where it is applied, it will also more than adequately supply the pastures need for sulphur.

Some types of phosphate rock, called reactive phosphate rock (RPR), given adequate rainfall and acid soils, will release the phosphorus in a form that plants can use. More information on for the use of RPR on Australian pastures is available on the Internet at: <http://www.latrobe.edu.au/rpr>

The type of phosphorus fertiliser to use depends on the phosphorus and sulphur status of the soil, and to some extent soil type.

### Phosphorus status

A water-soluble form of phosphorus such as single or triple superphosphate is the best option when soil reserves of phosphorus are low, as the phosphorus is immediately available to plants. The exception is for acidic sandy soils (pH 5.0 or less) in areas receiving more than 700 mm rainfall where RPR can be used. In this situation superphosphate will be more effective in the autumn than RPR, but by spring RPR is superior because of reduced winter leaching of phosphorus.

If the only nutrient needed is phosphorus, then the cheapest form is triple superphosphate, or RPR in suitable conditions. RPRs are not suitable for building up fertility as they act too slowly.

### Sulphur status

Sulphur is also an essential plant nutrient but its importance tends to be overlooked when superphosphate is used as the source of phosphorus. The sulphur in superphosphate is derived from the sulphuric acid used in its manufacture and is in the form of gypsum (calcium sulphate).

Sulphur in the sulphate form is readily available to plants but it is also prone to leaching, particularly in higher rainfall zones where the topsoil is sandy. Where leaching occurs, a mixture of elemental and sulphate sulphur will result in a more even supply of sulphur through the growing season. The use of elemental sulphur increases soil acidification slightly, so, an application of 1 kg/ha of elemental sulphur requires 3 kg/ha of lime to neutralise the resulting acidification.

Where triple superphosphate or RPR is used to supply phosphorus, additional sulphur must be supplied unless soil reserves are adequate. As there are no local sources, gypsum is much more expensive in Tasmania than the rest of Australia. In lower rainfall areas in Tasmania it is usually cheaper to use superphosphate to supply both phosphorus and sulphur. For acidic sandy soils in higher rainfall areas it is cheaper to use RPR plus gypsum or elemental sulphur.

*Use soil tests to ensure the supply of other nutrients does not limit the plant's ability to use the phosphorus applied*

# Priorities for phosphorus fertiliser use

The phosphorus application program over the whole property will be a staged process taking into consideration logistics and economics.

The values in Table 2 will help decide the optimum amount of phosphorus to apply to different paddocks, or major soil types within the farm, thereby maximising the investment for each kilogram of phosphorus applied.

It is possible to further prioritise phosphorus applications based on paddock use, pasture composition and soil tests.

The information below is based on practical experience of factors that drive responses to phosphorus fertiliser.

## Proposed use

Paddocks with the greatest need for phosphorus are those running pregnant, lactating or fattening stock, or where hay or silage is to be made. Phosphorus fertiliser improves pasture quality, as well as pasture production, and stock will respond profitably to high quality herbage.

## Anticipated stocking rate

The need for phosphorus fertiliser increases as the stocking rate increases and more pasture production is required.

If available pasture contains more than 10% old pasture (on a dry matter basis) in August, the pasture is under-used or over-fertilised. Alternatively, poor quality pasture may be preventing stock eating all that is available.

## Pasture type

Paddocks can be classified into different categories depending on their potential response to phosphorus fertiliser. For a given soil test value, it is likely that the paddocks with the best pasture composition will give the highest response to phosphorus fertiliser.

Four different pasture types are listed in order of priority for phosphorus fertiliser applications:

1. Re-sown pasture: applying fertiliser on re-sown pasture protects the investment already made. It is essential to apply adequate nutrients for 2-3 years following re-sowing.
2. Good pasture: pasture consisting mainly of sown perennial grasses and annual or perennial legumes.
3. Moderate pasture: sown perennial grass and clover is present wherever you look, but there is also some inferior grasses such as browntop and silver grass.
4. Poor pasture: run-down pasture where sown grasses are not visible and the sward is dominated by flatweed, browntop and weedy grasses. Clover, if present, is stunted with small dark-green leaves indicating phosphorus deficiency. Native pastures or unproductive saline areas also fall into this category.

## Phosphorus status of paddock

The lower the soil phosphorus status the greater the likely response from applying phosphorus fertiliser, provided other nutrients are non-limiting.

It is best to determine phosphorus status using a soil test. However, if the soil phosphorus level is not known, consider the amount of phosphorus applied in the last two years or the soil test results from similar paddocks.

## Other soil-related factors

Excessive salinity, high aluminium levels, waterlogging, or a lack of water-holding capacity will limit the extent to which pastures will respond to phosphorus.

*Spreading the same amount of fertiliser per hectare over the whole property is unlikely to give the best return for the money invested*

## Responsible fertiliser use

A well-fertilised and well-managed improved pasture is responsible land management. The benefits from dense, perennial grass based pastures on fertile soils are:

- improved ground cover
- reduced water run-off
- higher water use compared with low fertility sites

Most soils readily 'fix' applied phosphorus, where it remains in the top few centimetres of soil. Research into phosphorus run-off at the Hamilton Long-term Phosphate Experiment has shown losses of applied phosphorus to be, at most, 0.3% - even at high application rates (more than 20 kg P/ha every year for 20 years). However, even small amounts of phosphorus can contribute to environmental problems in waterways, impact on flora and fauna habitat and ultimately affect the marine environment.

Best practice fertiliser application can minimise nutrient loss and reduce any impact on the environment.

Research into the effects of phosphorus is continuing at several sites around Tasmania, with the aim of lifting productivity and minimising environmental impacts.

Current best practices for phosphorus fertiliser application are:

- Avoid applying fertiliser when ground cover is less than 70%, or land is over-grazed, or affected by drought or flood.
- Prevent fertiliser entering waterways and water storages during application.
- Do not apply fertiliser if heavy rain is forecast within four days.
- Avoid applying fertiliser to waterlogged soils or soils likely to flood soon after application.
- Locate fertiliser storage areas away from potential run-off areas.



*Best practice in applying phosphorus fertiliser can minimise nutrient loss and reduce any impact on the environment*

*Phosphorus fertiliser is best applied in autumn to paddocks with at least 70% ground cover*

### Further reading

- **Cayley, J.W.D., Cameron, F.J., Plozza, T.E. and Kearney, G.A. (2003).** Trends in phosphorus status of pasture soils can be assessed by testing them in spring or summer. *Proceedings of the 110th Australian Agronomy Conference, Geelong.* [www.regional.org.au/asa/2003](http://www.regional.org.au/asa/2003).
- **Cayley, J.W.D. and Kearney, G.A. (1999).** Changes in bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus of a basalt-derived duplex soil associated with applications of superphosphate to pasture grazed by sheep. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 50, 547-554.
- **Cayley, J.W.D. and Kearney G.A. (2000).** Profitable use of phosphorus fertiliser for temperate pastoral Australia. *Proceedings of the Australian Society of Animal Production* 23, Vol. B, 191-194.
- **Cayley, J.W.D., McCaskill, M.R. and Kearney, G.A. (2002).** Available phosphorus, sulfur, potassium and other cations in a long-term grazing experiment in south-western Victoria. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 53, 1349-1360.
- **Cornforth, I.S. and Sinclair, A. G. (1982).** Model for calculating maintenance phosphate requirements for grazed pastures. *New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 10, 53-61.
- **French, R.J. (1987).** Future productivity of our farmlands. *Proceedings of the 4th Australian Agronomy Conference* 140-149.
- **Hollier, C. (1999).** Acid soil action. Investment for your soil now and for the future. Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- **McLaren, C. (1997).** Dry sheep equivalents for comparing different classes of livestock. *Note series no. AG0590.* Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- **Quigley, P., Gloag, C., Cayley, J., McCaskill, M. and Cameron, F. (2003).** Making informed decisions on phosphorus fertilisers: The Hamilton Long-term Phosphate Experiment. *Department of Primary Industries.*
- **Sale, P.W.G., Simpson, P.G., Anderson, C.A. and Muir, L.L. (1997).** The Role of Reactive Phosphate Rock Fertilisers for Pastures in Australia. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture Special Issue* 37, No. 8. CSIRO Publishing.




## Acknowledgements

Support for this publication was provided by Australian wool producers and the Australian Government through Australian Wool Innovation Limited, with assistance from the Tasmanian Government. The Victorian Government Wool Industry Strategy funds the Hamilton Long-term Phosphate Experiment with support from Australian Wool Innovation Limited.

## Authors

**John Cayley and Paul Quigley**  
 Primary Industries Research - Victoria  
 Department of Primary Industries,  
 Private Bag 105  
 Hamilton, Vic 3300

**Darryl Johnson**  
 Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment  
 Tasmania



A summary of the results from the Hamilton Long-term Phosphate Experiment is reported in the publication: *Making informed decisions on phosphorus fertilisers* and is available from:

Primary Industries Research - Victoria  
 Department of Primary Industries  
 Private Bag 105,  
 Hamilton Vic, 3300

E-mail: [dpi.hamilton@dpi.vic.gov.au](mailto:dpi.hamilton@dpi.vic.gov.au)



Front and back cover image courtesy Mal Brown.

**For further information contact:**

Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment  
Tasmania

Phone: 1300 368 550

Web site: [www.dpiwe.tas.gov.au](http://www.dpiwe.tas.gov.au)

Published in 2005

**ISBN 1 74146 207 X**

© The State of Victoria. Department of Primary Industries

This publication is copyright. No part may be reproduced by any process except in accordance with the provisions of the **Copyright Act 1968**

**Disclaimer:** This publication may be of assistance to you, but the States of Victoria and Tasmania and their employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.